

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,794	11/07/2005	Avner Yayon	2488.015	1652	
	7590 03/29/201 HENBERG FARLEY &	EXAMINER			
5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE			AZPURU, CARLOS A		
ALBANY, NY	12203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1615			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/29/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/534,794	YAYON, AVNER	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Carlos A. Azpuru	1615	

renou for Keply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR TH WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALINED DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Listinations of time may be available under the provision of 3T GFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a may be brinsly filed after St. (b) MCNTH's from the mailing date of the communication. If NO period for raply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SX (b) MCNTH's from the mailing date of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce example pattern than additional to the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce example pattern term adjustment. See 3T GFR 1.750 and the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce example pattern term adjustment. See 3T GFR 1.750 and the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce example pattern term adjustment.	ate of this communication. § 133).
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 December 2009.	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution	as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 2	13.
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>72-104</u> is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>72-104</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1	.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. S	See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or	form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this !	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	- 0
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	eation
-3) Information-Disclosure-Statement(c) (FTO/SB/CC) 5) Notice of Informal Fatent Applie Paper No(s)/Mail Date	RODOLL

U.S	, P	atent	and	Trade	mark	Offi
PΊ	ro	1 -32	26 (Rev	08-	06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the Amendment filed 12/14/2009.

The rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph is withdrawn in view applicant's amendment.

The following rejections are cited after a review for patentability and additional search:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 73-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for polysaccharides and synthetic polymers, does not reasonably provide enablement for all natural polymers. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The specification sets out that the biocompatible polymers include polysaccharides and synthetic polymers. However, even this is problematic in that the synthetic polymers also include polysaccharides. Therefore, it is suggested that

Application/Control Number: 10/534,794

Art Unit: 1615

applicant combine claim 74 with claim 73 and set out that the "natural polysaccharides consist of polysaccharides".

Claims 78, 90 and 100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims are unclear in their reference to "analgesics and analgesic combinations". Applicant appears to already be claiming possible multiple analgesics in using the plural of the term. The specification at page 18, line 8 provides no further clarification. Applicant is requested to delete "analgesic combinations".

The following rejection is made in response to the amendment of the claims:

Claims 72-104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicants have amended the claims to read on a "bioactive" polymer. .There is no support in the specification for this. It is suggested that the term "bioactive" should be deleted

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 72-104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are indefinite in the use of the term "bioactive" to describe the biocompatible polymer. While applicant is his or her own lexicographer, the term here is used in derogation of art accepted terminology since by applicant's own definition at page 15, lines 24-25, the polymer performs functions common to all non-active carriers.

An art search did not find prior art pertinent to the instantly claimed invention. In fact, the prior art does not teach or suggest the instantly claimed composition or method. In particular, the synthetic apatite which also comprises at least one amino acid is not found in the prior art.

Art Unit: 1615

As such, corrections made as cited above would place the application in condition for allowance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is (571) 272-0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert A. Wax can be reached on (571) 272-0623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,794 Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615 Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615

caz